

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,873	09/23/2003	Guillaume Guzman	SP02-152	2607
22928 75	590 08/13/2004		EXAMINER	
CORNING INCORPORATED			DICKEY, THOMAS L	
SP-TI-3-1 CORNING, N	Y 14831		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2826	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A'			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Office Action Summary		10/668,873	GUZMAN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas L Dickey	2826				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports and the communic	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repropersion of the provision of the provisio	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	une 2004.					
2a)□		s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is	6			
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	ı .					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-18 is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) 19 is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
•	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) rr No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/23/2003</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/668,873 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on 06/28/2004 has been entered. Applicant should note that there is a disagreement between Applicant's remarks and Applicant's "clean copy" of claims. Applicant remarks that he intends to cancel claims 15-18 but in the "clean copy" Applicant withdraws the same. As a result, the "clean copy" takes precedent and claims 15-18 are withdrawn but not cancelled.

Election/Restriction

2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 1-14 and 19, in the Paper filed 06/28/2004 is acknowledged.

Oath/Declaration

3. The oath/declaration filed on 03/08/2004 is acceptable.

Drawings

4. The formal drawings filed on 09/23/2003 are acceptable.

Priority

5. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,873 Page 3

Art Unit: 2826

Information Disclosure Statement

6. The Information Disclosure Statement filed on 09/23/2003 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

A. Claims 1-9 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by OHTAKA et al. (5,108,843).

Ohtaka et al. discloses a thin film transistor (TFT) suitable for applications selected from the group consisting of liquid crystal displays (LCDs) and light emitting diodes (LEDs) comprising a glass, glass-ceramic, or ceramic substrate 201 suitable for use in electronic and integrated circuits; a layer of refractory polycrystalline material 202 formed on at least a portion of the substrate 201; and a layer of polycrystalline silicon 203 formed on the refractory layer. With regard to claims 4-7,13, and 14, Ohtaka et al. discloses that the refractory material 202 may be CVD deposited polycrystalline zirconia (ZrO₂) an oxide characterized by having low thermal conductivity and high electrical permittivity, having at least one crystal parameter (lattice constant, as applicant admits at paragraph 0045 of the instant application) close to that of crystalline silicon, and

Art Unit: 2826

comprising an element (Zr) selected from the group consisting of AI, Mg, Ti, Zr, Y, Ca, Mo, Ce, Hf, Ta, B, V and a combination of these. With regard to claims 8 and 9, Ohtaka et al. discloses that the refractory material 202 may be TiC, SiC, Si₃N₄ or BN, and thus a carbide, nitride or boride containing Si. Note figure 1 and column 4 lines 3-5, 12-23, and 34-36 of Ohtaka et al.

The applicant's claims 11 and 13 do not distinguish over the Ohtaka et al. reference regardless of the process used to form the refractory material, because only the final product is relevant, not the recited processes of sol-gel technique or anodic oxidation, electron, ion, atom or laser beam processes.

Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made. In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); and In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw makes clear. See also MPEP 706.03(e).

B. Claims 1-7 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by FORK et al. (5,733,641).

Art Unit: 2826

Fork et al. discloses a thin film transistor (TFT) suitable for applications selected from the group consisting of liquid crystal displays (LCDs) and light emitting diodes (LEDs) comprising a glass, glass-ceramic, or ceramic substrate 100 suitable for use in electronic and integrated circuits; a porous layer of CVD or sol-gel deposited refractory polycrystalline material 102 formed on at least a portion of the substrate 100; and a layer of polycrystalline silicon 108 formed on the refractory layer, wherein the refractory material 102 may comprise Mg, Al, and Zr, which are selected from the group consisting of Al, Mg, Ti, Zr, Y, Ca, Mo, Ce, Hf, Ta, B, V and a combination of these. With regard to claims 5-7, and 14, Ohtaka et al. discloses that the refractory material 102 may be polycrystalline zirconia (ZrO₂) an oxide characterized by having low thermal conductivity and high electrical permittivity, having at least one crystal parameter (lattice constant, as applicant admits at paragraph 0045 of the instant application) close to that of crystalline silicon. Note figures 1, 2A-2E, and column 2 lines 6-16 and column 4 lines 8-44 of Fork et al.

The applicant's claim 13 does not distinguish over the Fork et al. reference regardless of the process used to form the refractory material, because only the final product is relevant, not the recited processes of electron, ion, atom or laser beam processes.

Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made. In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re

Art Unit: 2826

Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); and In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw makes clear. See also MPEP 706.03(e).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas L Dickey whose telephone number is 571-272-1913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,873 Page 7

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TLD 07/04

> Minhloan Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826